EXHIBIT A

KATHERINE LOUISE REED 30(b)(6) HAMILTON COUNTY vs. BELLSOUTH

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
3	AT CHATTANOOGA
4	
5	HAMILTON COUNTY EMERGENCY
6	COMMUNICATIONS DISTRICT, CONSOLIDATED CASES: et al., 1:11-CV-330
7	(Lead Case); Plaintiffs, 1:12-CV-003; 1:12-CV-056;
8	vs. 1:12-CV-131;
9	1:12-CV-138; BELLSOUTH 1:12-CV-139;
10	TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC, 1:12-CV-149; d/b/a AT&T TENNESSEE, 1:12-CV-166; 1:12-CV-176;
11	Defendant. 1:12-CV-186
12	
13	
14	VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF KATHERINE LOUISE REED
15	
16	
17	9:30 a.m.
18	October 30, 2013
19	
20	
21	1100 Peachtree Street, N.E.
22	Atlanta, Georgia
23	
24	
25	Christy L. Farrar, CCR-B-2178

KATHERINE LOUISE REED 30(b)(6) HAMILTON COUNTY vs. BELLSOUTH

1 (By Mr. Greenholtz) I mean, to be removed 0. from the exclusion list, you had to be present on the 2 3 exclusion list, logically; is that right? 4 Same objection. MR. BRESLIN: Form. 5 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any list 6 that stated the USOC PR71D. 7 (By Mr. Greenholtz) Okay. PR71D is not 0. 8 one of the USOCs listed on Exhibit 18 here, is it, or 9 is it? 10 No, it is not. Α. 11 Okay. You'll see in BR7, which is on page Ο. 12 8 of 12, a reference to a zero rated USOC. What is a 13 zero rated USOC? 14 The overall definition of a zero rated Α. 15 USOC is a USOC that carries a zero rate. 16 What does that mean? 0. That means that there is no rate 17 Α. 18 associated with that particular USOC. 19 0. Okay. Meaning that it may be a service 20 that is provided, but on the bill to the customer there's not a charge associated with it? 21 22 Α. Correct. 23 Okay. All right. And this says: 24 begin to include zero rated USOCs when calculating 25 911 surcharges for all impacted products.

Α.

25

1 What types of zero rated USOCs would BR7 2 be referring to? 3 Α. BR7 is referring to we discovered some USOCs, some accounts that had special pricing 4 5 arrangements. 6 Uh-huh. Ο. 7 I'm not sure if you're familiar with the Α. 8 term special assemblies. We're going to talk about them in 9 Sure. 10 just a moment, actually. 11 We discovered that on some accounts Α. Okay. 12 that were carrying a special assembly USOC, which our 13 analysis told us that the special assembly USOC was 14 setting on that account to handle the billing. 15 0. Okay. 16 And that the tariff USOC setting on that 17 account were zero rated. During our analysis, we 18 discovered that in those types of situation, we were 19 inadvertently suppressing the 911 surcharge. 20 Q. Okay. So once we found it, we fixed it with this 21 Α. 22 project. 23 When did you find the discrepancy? Okav. Ο. 24 It was -- I can't give you an exact date.

It was probably sometime third, fourth quarter of

- 1 2010, because this project team was already formed 2 and we were beginning to, you know, define our 3 business requirements. 4 Ο. Okav. And that's when we discovered the issue. 5 Α. 6 So it was the project team in 0. Okay. 7 relation to the multiplex billing arrangements that 8 had been formed and that project team discovered the 9 discrepancy here with the zero rated USOCs -- excuse 10 me, with the special assembly USOCs? 11 Α. Yes. Based on my recollection, that is 12 true. 13 Okay. Ο. 14 Α. A true statement. 15 Who was the head of the project team? 0. 16 Gianni Walkey. Α. I think, for the record, his first 17 Ο. 18 name is spelled G-i-a-n-n-i? 19
 - Α. I believe that's correct, yes.
 - That's a test on my part. Okay. Q.

All right. So it came to light that there were, I guess, to summarize, that there were accounts that should have been billed a 911 surcharge, but because of the non-tariff USOCs that had been associated with the account, the 911 surcharge had

20

21

22

23

24

25